

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,549	05/20/2002	Michael John Lee	ANRUK01000USO	1619
7590 11/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Thomas A Ward			BARNIE, REXFORD N	
Fliesler Dubb Meyer & Lovejoy Suite 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Four Embarcadero Center			2643	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4156			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Mr

\mathcal{M}						
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/018,549	LEE, MICHAEL JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
:	REXFORD N BARNIE	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be set of the period for reply will be set of the period for reply will be set or extended period for	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTF atute, cause the application to become ABAF	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 May 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicat	4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 12,13 and 15-17</u> is/are rejecte	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 12,13 and 15-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		. *				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	` ' ' '	ani and				
See the attached detailed Office action for a	nst of the certified copies not re	REXFORD BARNIE				
Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINER						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pager No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/04. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/018,549

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 12, 13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill (US pat# 4,658,436) in view of Applicant admitted Prior art (see fig. 1).

Regarding claim 1, Hill teaches a transmission system and method comprising of a receiver, a signal generator and means for receiving signals from a radio receiver/transmitter in (see figs. And disclosure) but fails to teach that in detail a means as taught by Admitted prior art which teaches a signal processor (16) with two outputs which can be fed into means including a modifying means (FS2, FS1) with an input from a base station which reads on a digital signal generator, receiver and modifying means in (see fig. 1 of applicant's drawing).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Applicant Admitted prior art into that of Hill thus making it possible to reduce noise or interference.

Regarding claim 2, see the explanation as set forth regarding claim 1 in addition to the fact that the combination teaches a cellular or for that matter, any receiver/transmitter.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,549

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 3, The combination teaches signals which goes through a means including base stations and modified by using means including fading generator (FS1,FS2) and a summer (Admitted prior art).

Regarding claims 4-7, The combination teaches a fading simulator for adjusting a signal.

Regarding claims 12-13, the combination teaches the claimed subject matter in (see fig. 6 of Hill)

Regarding claim 15, Hill teaches a transmission system comprising of a signal generator, a digital means (LPF, 41), another digital means (42), a demodulator and clock sync and a combiner (38) and accumulator in (see fig. 3) which reads on the claimed limitations. Hill fails to teach in detail a simulator system eventhough he teaches simulating a fade in (see col. 4 line 61-62).

Admitted prior art of record teaches a multi-path simulator which comprises of Means (see 522, 526,530) which all combined together to a summer to reduce noise interference.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Admitted prior art into that of Hill thus making it possible to reduce noise or interference.

Regarding claims 16-17, the combination including Hill teaches a plurality of signal processing means.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,549

Art Unit: 2643

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 14 is allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **REXFORD N BARNIE** whose telephone number is (703)306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER REXFORD BARNIE 11/27/04

REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER